## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS SHERMAN DIVISION

2

DANIELLE GEOFFRION and	8 §	
DARREN KASMIR,	§ 8	
v.	§ 8	Case No. 4:14-cv-00350
NATIONSTAR MORTGAGE LLC,	<b>§</b>	Judge Mazzant
	§ s	
	<b>§</b>	

## ORDER ON NATIONSTAR MORTGAGE, LLC'S MOTION IN LIMINE

Before the court is Nationstar Mortgage LLC's (**Nationstar**) Motion in Limine (Dkt. #51). After review of Nationstar's motion, and plaintiffs Danielle Geoffrion and Darren Kasmir's (**Geoffrion**) responses thereto the court GRANTS Nationstar's Motion in Limine as follows:

## IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

1. Any reference to, attempt to argue or attempt to introduce evidence in support of an affirmative claim for relief, affirmative defense or damage theory that has not been timely or properly pleaded or disclosed in discovery. Such evidence is irrelevant, would be unduly prejudicial to defendant, and would create unnecessary confusion of the issues which may mislead the jury. The evidence is properly excluded under either Rules 401 or 403 of the Federal Rules of Evidence.

GRANTED:	 DENIED:	<u>X</u>
MODIFIED:	 	

2.	Any reference to, attempt to argue or attempt to in	ntroduce evidence, including
testimony fro	om fact and/or expert witnesses, that was not time	ly or properly disclosed in
response to a	written discovery request and/or court order.	
<b>GRANTED:</b>	DENIED:	AGREED: X
MODIFIED:		
3.	Any reference to negotiations, offers or demands w	ith respect to any attempted
settlement, in	cluding evidence of conduct or statements made in co	mpromise negotiations. This
evidence is in	admissible pursuant to Rule 408 of the Federal Rules of	of Civil Procedure.
<b>GRANTED:</b>	DENIED:	AGREED: X
MODIFIED:		
4.	Any reference to discovery disputes that arose durin	g the preparation of the case
for trial, any	position taken by any party with respect thereto, or to	the Court's rulings thereon.
This includes	the assertion of any objection based on failure to o	lisclose evidence in pre-trial
discovery. Sh	nould the plaintiffs desire to urge any such objection, t	hey shall request to approach
the bench and	urge such objection outside the hearing of the jury.	
<b>GRANTED:</b>	<u>X</u> DENIED:	_
MODIFIED:		
5.	Any reference to, attempt to argue or attempt to	introduce evidence of any
statement or 1	report of any person not then and there present in cou	art to testify and to be cross-

examined or otherwise suggest to the jury by argument or otherwise what would have been the

testimony of any witness not actually called-this includes all witnesses whom counsel have not

arranged to bring to trial in person or by deposition-or comment upon the failure of any party to			
call witnesses	who are subject to subpoena and available to all parti	es in this cause or suggest to	
the jury by a	rgument or otherwise what would have been the te	estimony of any witness not	
actually called	l.		
GRANTED:	DENIED:	AGREED: X	
MODIFIED:			
6.	Any reference to, attempt to argue or attempt to intr	roduce evidence of insurance	
of any kind.	Whether any party to this action is covered by insurar	nce or has received insurance	
benefits is ent	cirely immaterial to any issue in this case and inadmi	ssible under Rule 411 of the	
Federal Rules	of Evidence.		
GRANTED:	DENIED:	AGREED: X	
MODIFIED:			
7.	Any request or demand in the presence of the jury th	nat opposing counsel produce	
any document	or thing, or that opposing counsel or any party or	witness exhibit, turn over or	
allow examination of the contents of any file or briefcase (except that a party may request to see			
a document being tendered to a witness or otherwise asked to be admitted into evidence or that a			
witness testific	es that he/she has used previously to refresh his/her rec	collection).	
GRANTED:	DENIED:	AGREED: X	
MODIFIED:			

8.	Any reference to, attempt to argue or attempt to ir	ntroduce evidence about the
contents of d	ocuments not then admitted in evidence, except to	establish the predicate for
admissibility (	or impeachment of a witness then on the witness stand	
GRANTED:	<b>DENIED:</b> <u>X</u>	-
MODIFIED:		
9.	Showing any documents, photographs or visual aid	s to the jury, or displaying
same in such	manner that the jury or any member thereof can see the	ne same, unless and until the
same has been	tendered to opposing counsel, and has been admitted	in evidence or approved for
admission or ι	ise before the jury, either by the Court or by all counse	1.
GRANTED:	DENIED:	AGREED: X
MODIFIED:		
10.	Any request or demand in the presence of the jury fo	r a stipulation to any fact, or
that counsel ac	lmit or deny any fact.	
GRANTED:	DENIED:	AGREED: X
MODIFIED:		
11.	Any expression of any party's personal opinion rega	arding the credibility of any
witness.		
GRANTED:	<u>X</u> <u>DENIED:</u>	
MODIFIED:		

12.	Any reference to, attempt to argue or attempt to intro	duce evidence of the relative
size of defend	ant-in terms of assets, revenue or other measure-as of	compared to the plaintiffs, or
any statement	s tending to suggest that the outcome should be based	d upon the party who will
be affected the	e least. Any evidence or statement of this type is i	rrelevant and would be
unduly prejud	cial to defendant and create unnecessary confusion of	of the issues which may
mislead the ju	ry. Therefore, the evidence is properly excluded und	der either Rule 401 or 403
of the Federal	Rules of Evidence.	
GRANTED:	X (as modified) DENIED:	
MODIFIED:	Any reference to, attempt to argue, or attempt to	introduce evidence of the
relative size o	f defendantin terms of assets, revenue, or other fi	nancial characteristics.
13.	Any argument or suggestion that an award of damage	s will not affect defendant or
that defendant	can afford to pay damages or, conversely, that a fa	ilure to award damages will
cause the plair	atiffs privation or financial hardship.	
GRANTED:	DENIED:	AGREED: X
MODIFIED:		
14.	Any argument or suggestion that the jurors should p	ut themselves in the position
of the plaintiff	s or any other party or person.	
GRANTED:	DENIED:	AGREED: X

15.	Any reference t	o, attempt to arg	ue or attempt	to introduce evid	ence o	r elicit
testimony of l	egal opinions or r	elated to legal issu	es in this case.	Such opinions ar	e the pr	rovince
of the Court, t	to be advised by c	ounsel from the co	unsel table, no	t the witness stand	, to cha	rge the
jury. In addit	ion, a legal opinio	n from unqualified	witnesses is n	ot probative evide	nce.	
GRANTED:		DENIED: _	<u>X</u>			
MODIFIED:						
16.	Any reference t	o, attempt to arg	ue or attempt	to introduce evid	ence o	r elicit
testimony abo	out the amount of	money any defend	ant paid to acq	uire an interest in	the loar	n. This
evidence is in	relevant and wor	uld be unduly pre	judicial to def	endant by creating	g unne	cessary
confusion of	the issues which	n may mislead th	e jury. There	efore, the evidence	e is p	roperly
excluded unde	er either Rule 401	or 403 of the Fede	ral Rules of Ev	vidence.		
GRANTED:		DENIED: _		AGREE	ED:	<u>X</u>
MODIFIED:						

17. Any reference to, attempt to argue or attempt to introduce evidence or elicit testimony that the attorneys' fees incurred by defendant in this case is evidence of the reasonableness or necessity of attorneys' fees incurred by the plaintiffs. Such evidence is patently irrelevant. *MCI Telecommunications Corp. v. Crowley*, 899 S.W.2d 399, 403-04 (Tex. App.–Fort Worth 1995, n.p.h.). Therefore, such evidence would be unduly prejudicial to defendant by creating unnecessary confusion of the issues which may mislead the jury. Therefore, the evidence is properly excluded under either Rule 401 or 403 of the Federal Rules of Evidence.

GRANTED:	<u>X</u>	DENIED:	
MODIFIED: _			

18. Any reference to, attempt to argue or attempt to introduce evidence or elicit testimony related to a "mortgage crisis" or "foreclosure crisis," alleged to have been contributed to by banks, mortgage companies or other financial institutions, in general, or by defendant, in particular, including, but not limited to, other legal proceedings, whether threatened, pending or concluded, including the settlements thereof, related to lending practices, securitization, servicing and/or foreclosure, except that the parties should be permitted to ask prospective jurors generally in *voir dire* if any such news reports will affect their ability to sit as jurors in this case. However, any further reference to these topics, as if they are applicable to the facts of this case when they are not, is irrelevant and would be unduly prejudicial to defendant by creating the impression the merits of this case should be decided based upon anything other than the actions of the defendant in this matter. The evidence is properly excluded under either Rule 401 or 403 of the Federal Rules of Evidence.

GRANTED:	<u>X</u>	<b>DENIED:</b>	
MODIFIED: _			

19. Any reference to, attempt to argue or attempt to introduce evidence or elicit
testimony related to money allegedly paid to banks, mortgage companies or other financial
institutions, in general, or to defendant, in particular, by any state or federal government under
the Troubled Asset Relief Program (TARP) or other related program. Any reference to these
topics, as if they are applicable to the facts of this case when they are not, is irrelevant and would
be unduly prejudicial to defendant by creating the impression the merits of this case should be
decided based upon anything other than the actions of the defendant in this matter. The evidence
is properly excluded under either Rule 401 or 403 of the Federal Rules of Evidence.
GRANTED:
MODIFIED:
20. Any reference to, attempt to argue or attempt to introduce evidence of defendant's
alleged obligations under any state or federal loan modification program such as TARP, the
Making Home Affordable Program ( $\mathbf{MHA}$ ), or the Home Affordable Modification Program
(HAMP), as well as any attempt by the plaintiffs to testify, reference, identify, or question any
witness regarding his alleged application for any relief offered under any such programs. This
evidence is irrelevant and not probative of any of the plaintiffs' claims, and would cause
unnecessary confusion of the issues which may mislead the jury.
GRANTED:  DENIED:  AGREED:  X
MODIFIED:

Case 4:14-cv-00350-ALM Document 61 Filed 08/21/15 Page 10 of 11 PageID #: 947

21. That this motion has been filed, or any ruling by this court in response to this motion, suggesting or inferring to the jury that defendant moved to prohibit or limit the evidence or arguments, or that the court has excluded or limited the evidence or arguments, or that because a motion in limine has been filed there are certain facts, evidence or arguments which cannot be presented to the jury. AGREED: X **GRANTED:** \_\_\_\_\_ **DENIED:** \_\_\_\_\_ MODIFIED: 22. Defendant further request the Court instruct the Plaintiffs not to make any statements to, or in the hearing and presence of, the jury that would inform the jury of or allude to any of the foregoing matters until such testimony or statement has first been presented to the Court outside the hearing and presence of the jury and the Court's ruling has been obtained thereon; and that the Plaintiffs be instructed to inform all witnesses called by them not to make any statement to, or in the hearing and presence of, the jury that would inform the jury of or allude to any of the foregoing matters until such testimony or statement has first been presented to the Court outside the hearing and presence of the jury and the Court's ruling has been obtained thereon. GRANTED: X DENIED: \_\_\_\_ MODIFIED:

23. Any reference to or attempt to introduce evidence by plaintiffs or their counsel of
any fact that was the subject of a question prosed to plaintiffs at their depositions and that
plaintiffs refused to answer.
GRANTED: X DENIED:
MODIFIED:
24. Any reference to or attempt to introduce evidence from a fact witness not
designed by first and last name or otherwise in such a manner to identify the witness specifically
prior to trial.
GRANTED:X DENIED:
MODIFIED:
SIGNED this 21st day of August, 2015.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE